#### 8.2 Greatest Common Divisor and Least Common Multiple • Bézout's Identity(e.g.)



- Example: Calculate gcd(119,544) and find the values of x and y that satisfy the equation 119x+544y=gcd(119,544) through the backward substitution process.
- **Solve:** ①Apply the Euclidean algorithm.
  - We begin by performing the division steps of the Euclidean algorithm:  $544=119 \times 4+68$   $119=68 \times 1+51$   $68=51 \times 1+17$  $51=17 \times 3+0$





**Solve:** 2Use backward substitution to find **x** and **y**. Now, we work backwards to express 17 as a linear combination of 119 and 544. From the last division: 119x+544y=gcd(119,544)=1717=68-51×1  $17 = 68 - (119 - 68 \times 1) \times 1$  $17 = 68 \times 2 - 119$  $17 = (544 - 119 \times 4) \times 2 - 119$  $17 = 544 \times 2 - 119 \times 8 - 119$ 17=544×2-119×9

The GCD of 119 and 544 is 17, which can be expressed as a linear combination: 119×(-9) + 544×2 = 17



- Two integers *a* and *b* are *coprime* if gcd(*a*,*b*)=1.
- A set of integers  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  is *pairwise coprime* if every pair of distinct elements is coprime, i.e.,  $gcd(a_i, a_j)=1$  for all  $i \neq j$ .
- **For example**, 8 and 15 are coprime, while 8 and 12 are not coprime. The numbers 4, 9, 11, and 35 are pairwise coprime.
- Theorem 8.10: The necessary and sufficient condition for two integers *a* and *b* to be coprime is that there exist integers *x* and *y* such that xa+yb=1.



- Necessity: If integers a and b are coprime, then there exist integers
  x and y such that the equation ax + by = 1 holds.
- Proof:
  - (1) By the definition of coprimeness, gcd(a,b)=1.
  - ②By Bézout's Theorem, since gcd(a,b)=1, there must exist integers x and y such the ax+by=gcd(a,b).
  - ③Substituting gcd(a,b)=1, we get ax+by=1, thus finding the values of x and y that satisfy the condition.
- Sufficiency: Proving that the equation ax + by = 1 holds is a sufficient condition for a and b to be coprime.





### Proof:

- Suppose there exist integers x and y such that the equation ax + by=1 holds, meaning a and b can be expressed as a linear combination to generate the smallest positive integer 1.
   Let d be a common divisor of a and b, so a=d·m and b=d·n, where m and n are integers. The equation ax + by=1 can be rewritten as d·(mx+ny)=1.
- ③Since only 1 multiplied by 1 results in 1 among positive integers, *d* must be 1.
- (4) Therefore, since *a* and *b* have no common divisors greater than 1, we have gcd(*a*,*b*)=1, and by the definition of coprimeness, *a* and *b* are coprime.





**Theorem 8.11**: Let *a* | *c*, *b* | *c*, *a and b* be coprime. Then, *ab* | *c*.

Proof:

(1) By the necessary and sufficient condition for coprimeness, there exist integers x and y such tha xa+yb=1.

②Multiplying both sides by c, we get cxa+cyb=c. Since a | xa and b | c, we have ab | cxa.

③Similarly, since **b**|**yb** and **a**|**c**, we have **ab**|**cyb**. Thus, we have **ab**|**cxa+cyb**, which simplifies to **ab**|**c**.



# 8.2 Greatest Common Divisor and Least Common MultipleBrief summary

**Objective** :

**Key Concepts :** 







## **Discrete Mathematics 2025 Spring**



魏可佶 kejiwei@tongji.edu.cn





### 8.1 Prime Numbers

- 8.2 Greatest Common Divisor and Least Common Multiple
- 8.3 Congruence
- 8.4 Linear Congruence Equations and the Chinese Remainder Theorem
- 8.5 Euler's Theorem and Fermat's Little Theorem





Congruence

- Modular Arithmetic
- Equivalence Class modulo m





Definition 8.5 : Let *m* be a positive integer, and *a* and *b* be integers.

- If  $m \mid (a-b)$ , then a is said to be *congruent* to b modulo m, or a is congruent to b modulo m, denoted as  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .
- If *a* is *not congruent* to *b* modulo *m*, we write  $a \not\equiv b \pmod{m}$ .
- The *necessary and sufficient conditions* for *a*≡*b* (mod *m*). :
  - (1)  $a \mod m = b \mod m$ .
  - (2) a≡b(mod m) if and only if a-b is a multiple of m, i.e., a=b+km, where k is an integer.
- Example:  $5 \equiv 17 \pmod{6}$ ,  $264 \equiv 249 \pmod{5}$ ,  $24 \not\equiv 16 \pmod{6}$ .





- Congruence modulo *m* satisfies the properties of an *equivalence relation*. That is, for all integers  $a,b,c \in Z$ , the following hold: (1) Reflexivity:  $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$ 
  - 2 Transitivity:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \land b \equiv c \pmod{m} \Rightarrow a \equiv c \pmod{m}$ .
  - **(3)** Symmetry:  $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \Rightarrow b \equiv a \pmod{m}$ .
  - Shorthand notation for the equivalence relation modulo *m*:

 $a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv \ldots \equiv a_k \pmod{m}$ .

- Closure of Algebraic Operations under Congruence Modulo m
  - If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ ,  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ , then:
  - (1)  $a \pm c \equiv b \pm d \pmod{m}$  (Additive and subtractive property)
  - (*2ac*≡*bd*(mod *m*) (Multiplicative property)
  - $(3a^{k} \equiv b^{k} \pmod{m})$ , where k is a non-negative integer (Exponentiation) property)

